

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)			
I	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 1030294 DAT	E: <u>1/24/2007</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:10PM</u>	DEPART: <u>1:30PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: NORTH HERCULES DRY CLEANERS						
FACILITY LOCATION: 2180 N Hercules Ave						
	CLEARWATER 34623					
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIA	AL: RONALD QUICK	PHONE:	PHONE: (727)734-4445			
CONTACT NAME: Ren	ee Armentia	PHONE: (441)195-5				
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2	2002 ENTITLE	MENT PERIOD: 1/20/2003 (effective date)	MENT PERIOD: 1/20/2003 / 1/20/2008 (effective date) (end date)			
	COMPLIANCE STATUS (chec					
IN COMPLIANCI	E MINOR Non-COMPL	JIANCE SIGNIFICANT	Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: <u>FACILITY CL</u> (check ☑ only	ASSIFICATION - Rule 62-213 one box in A)	3.300 FAC				
A. 1. Existing small dry-to-dry only transfer only, x both types, x < (constructed be	v, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr 140 gal/yr	2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 transfer only, x < 200 ga both types, x < 140 gal/y (constructed on or after 1	l/yr r			
transfer only, 2	$y, 140 \le x \le 2,100 \text{ gal/yr}$ $y, 100 \le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ $y, 100 \le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$	4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x$ transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1$ both types, $140 \le x \le 1,8$ (constructed on or after 1)	1,800 gal/yr 00 gal/yr			
5. Ineligible for (drop store/out of facility exceeds	of business/petroleum					
B . The total quantity of perchloroethylene (perc) purchased within the preceding 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was n/a gallons.						

PA	RT III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		only or			
Do	es the responsible official of the dry cleaning facility:	for ea	ach questi	ion)		
1.	Store perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	Yes	□No	⊠N/A		
2.	Examine the containers for leakage?	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
3. (Close and secure machine doors except during loading/unloading?	Yes Yes	No No			
	Drain cartridge filters in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	∐Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A		
	Maintain solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds according to the manufacturer's specifications?	∐Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A		
	RT IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)					
	1. If the facility classification is a Existing small area source , no controls are required.	red. Pr o	oceed to I	Part V.		
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>New small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.					
 If the facility classification is a Existing large area source, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993 If the facility classification is a New large area source, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. 						
A.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :		d only each ques	one box for stion)		
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	□Yes	⊠No			
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	□Yes	□No	⊠N/A		
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	∐Yes	□No	⊠N/A		
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	□Yes	⊠No			
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	Yes	□No	⊠N/A		
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	∐Yes	⊠No			

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS - Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
В.	Does the responsible official of an existing large or new large area source also:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
1.	Measure and record the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis?	□Yes ⊠No			
2.	Measure and record the washer exhaust temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet weekly?	∐Yes □ No ⊠N/A			
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than $20^{\rm o}$ F?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
3.	Measure and record the perc concentration in the exhaust stream weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	□Yes □ No ⊠ N/A			
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
4.	Assure that the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations is at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	Yes No N/A			
5.	Equip transfer machines (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	□Yes □ No ⊠ N/A			
6.	Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	□Yes □ No □ N/A			
PART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC (check ☑ only one box for					
Do	es the responsible official:	each question)			
1.	Maintain receipts for perc purchased?	- ☐ Yes ☒ No			
2.	Maintain rolling monthly total of yearly perc consumption?	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
3.	Maintain leak detection inspection and repair reports for the following:				
	a) documentation of leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	- Yes No No N/A			
	b) documentation of parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	☐ Yes ☐ No N/A			
4.	Maintain calibration data? (for applicable direct reading instruments)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
5.	Maintain exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations?	Yes No N/A			
6.	Maintain a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan?	Yes No			
7.	Maintain deviation reports?	Yes No No N/A			
	a) Problem corrected?	- Yes No No N/A			
8.	Maintain a compliance plan, if applicable?	Yes No N/A			

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

1. Does the responsible official conduct a weekly (for small sources, bi-weekly) leak

(check \square only one box for each question)

detection and repair inspection?	Yes No				
Does the facility maintain a leak log?	<u> </u>				
3. Does the responsible official check the following areas for leaks? a) Hose connections, fittings,	ck cookers				
4. Which method(s) of detection (is/are) used by the responsible official? a) Visual examination (condensed solvent on exterior surfaces)					
Shea L. Jackson	1/24/2007				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	1/12008				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS:

- This inspection was to observe building in regards to dry cleaning operations. The facility has been un occupied since 2004. The previous owner Ronald Quick is not available, and the permit will expire 1/20/2008. The drive by inspection was conducted to monitor facility for a restart of dry cleaning operations.
- The facility still had a sign posted as for rent. The building had been repainted pink. It appears they continue to try to restore the facility. I called the phone number on rental sign, 441-1955. Renae Armentia is the current building owner. I asked her if they intend to operate the dry cleaning equipment that was still on site. She stated yes. I informed her that the facility would need an air general permit, prior to beginning the operation of cleaning equipment. I informed her the permit had expired, and if they intend to operate the dry cleaner, they must contact Pinellas County Air Quality, prior to operation of cleaning equipment. She asked that me to call her again, as her electric had been cut off today.
- She previously informed me that the Hazardous Waste was removed, at a cost of \$140,000. She stated that Ronald Quick former responsible official had left the facility, and an arrest warrant is out for him in regards to damages to the facility. She stated they are familiar with the permit requirements for a dry cleaning operation. I asked her to contact me, prior to start up to confirm permitting issues had been addressed before dry cleaning operations started.

 I gave her my name and phone number for the new owner to contact me.